

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. DG 20-xxx

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities – Keene Division Summer 2020 Cost of Gas

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

DEBORAH GILBERTSON AND CATHERINE MCNAMARA

March 31, 2020

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

I. INTRODUCTION

- 2 Q. Please state your full names, business addresses, and positions.
- 3 A. (DG) My name is Deborah Gilbertson. My business address is 15 Buttrick Road,
- 4 Londonderry, New Hampshire. My title is Senior Manager, Energy Procurement.
- 5 (CM) My name is Catherine McNamara. My business address is 15 Buttrick Road,
- 6 Londonderry, New Hampshire. My title is Rates Analyst II, Rates and Regulatory
- 7 Affairs.
- 8 Q. By whom are you employed?
- 9 A. We are employed by Liberty Utilities Service Corp. ("Liberty"), which provides services
 10 to Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. ("EnergyNorth" or "the Company").
- Please describe your educational background and your business and professional experience.
- 13 A. (DG) I graduated from Bentley College in Waltham, Massachusetts, in 1996 with a
- Bachelor of Science in Management. In 1997, I was hired by Texas Ohio Gas where I
- was employed as a Transportation Analyst. In 1999, I joined Reliant Energy as an
- Operations Analyst. From 2000 to 2003, I was employed by Smart Energy as a Senior
- Energy Analyst. I joined Keyspan Energy Trading Services in 2004 as a Senior Resource
- Management Analyst following which I was employed by National Grid from 2008
- through 2011 as a Lead Analyst in the Project Management Office. In 2011, I was hired
- by Liberty as a Natural Gas Scheduler and was promoted to Manager of Retail Choice in

_	_	c	\sim
Page	2	ot	9

1		2012. In October 2016, I was promoted to Senior Manager of Energy Procurement. In
2		this capacity, I provide gas procurement services to EnergyNorth.
3		(CM) I graduated from the University of Massachusetts, Boston, in 1993 with a Bachelor
4		of Science in Management with a concentration in Accounting. In November 2017, I
5		joined Liberty as an Analyst in Rates and Regulatory Affairs. Prior to my employment at
6		Liberty, I was employed by Eversource as a Senior Analyst in Investment Planning from
7		2015 to 2017. From 2008 to 2015, I was a Supervisor in the Plant Accounting. Prior to
8		my position in Plant Accounting, I was a Financial Analyst/General Ledger System
9		Administrator from 2000 to 2008.
10	Q.	Have you previously testified in regulatory proceedings before the New Hampshire
11		Public Utilities Commission (the "Commission")?
12	A.	(DG) Yes, I have previously testified before the Commission.
13		(CM) Yes, I have previously testified before the Commission.
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
14 15	Q. A.	What is the purpose of your testimony? The purpose of our testimony is to explain the Company's proposed cost of gas rates for

II. COST OF GAS FACTOR

1

- 2 Q. What is the proposed 2020 summer firm cost of gas rate?
- 3 A. The Company proposes a firm cost of gas rate of \$0.6173 per therm for the Keene
- 4 Division as shown on proposed Eleventh Revised Page 90.
- 5 Q. Please explain Eleventh Revised Page 90.
- 6 A. Eleventh Revised Page 90 contains the calculation of the Summer 2020 COG rate and
- summarizes the Company's forecast of propane sales and propane costs. The total
- anticipated cost of propane sendout from May 1 through October 31, 2020, is \$287,948.
- 9 To derive the Total Anticipated Cost of \$206,218, the prior period over-collection of
- \$80,938 and the interest of \$792 are subtracted from the anticipated cost of the propane
- sendout. The Cost of Gas Rate of \$0.6173 per therm is derived by dividing the Total
- 12 Anticipated Cost by the projected firm sales volumes of 334,086 therms.
- Q. What are the components of the adjustments to the cost of propane sendout?
- 14 A. The adjustments to gas costs listed on Eleventh Revised Page 90 are as follows:
- 1. Prior Period (Over)/Under Collection (\$80,938)
- 16 2. Interest (\$792)
- Total Adjustments (\$81,730)
 - Q. How was the cost of spot propane purchases determined in Schedule C?
- 19 A. In the off-peak period, spot prices are estimated using market quotes from local suppliers.
- 20 Schedule C serves as a guide to illustrate the components of the quoted price. Column 1
- shows the Mont Belvieu propane futures quotations as of March 13, 2020. Subsequent

1		columns show projected broker fees, pipeline fees, Propane Education & Research
2		Council (PERC) fees, supplier charges, and trucking charges, which, when added to the
3		futures price, estimate the likely components of the quoted price.
4	Q.	How does the proposed average cost of gas rate in this filing compare to the initial
5		cost of gas rate approved by the Commission for the 2019 Summer Period?
6	A.	The cost of gas rate proposed in this filing is \$0.4898 per therm lower than the initial rate
7		approved by the Commission for the 2019 Summer Period (\$0.6173 vs. \$1.1071).
8	Q.	What was the actual weighted average firm sales cost of gas rate for the 2019
9		Summer Period?
10	A.	The weighted average cost of gas rate for the 2019 Summer Period was approximately
11		\$0.9445 per therm. This was determined by applying the actual monthly cost of gas rates
12		for May through October 2019 to the monthly therm usage of an average residential
13		heating customer using 172 therms for the six summer period months (see Schedule I-1
14		or Schedule I-2, for more details).
15	III.	PRIOR PERIOD RECONCILIATION
16	Q.	Has the Company filed its reconciliation of the Summer 2019 Cost of Gas in Docket
17		No. DG 19-068?
18	A.	Yes. The Company filed its reconciliation of the Summer 2019 Cost of Gas on

December 31, 2019.

1	Q.	Does the Comp	any have any	corrections t	to make to	that filing?
---	----	----------------------	--------------	---------------	------------	--------------

- 2 A. The Company has no adjustments at this time. We are not anticipating any changes
- resulting from the audit of Summer 2019.
- 4 Q. Has the Company included any production costs in this filing?
- 5 A. No.

6 IV. CUSTOMER BILL IMPACTS

- 7 Q. What is the estimated impact of the proposed firm sales cost of gas rate on an
- 8 average customer's seasonal bill as compared to the rates in effect last year?
- 9 A. The bill impact analysis presented in Schedules I-1 and Schedule I-2 of this filing. The
- total bill impact for an average residential customer, for the off-peak season, is a decrease
- of approximately \$61.22, or 17% (see Schedule I-2, column 14, rows 54 and 55,
- respectively) as compared to the total bill for the 2019 Off-Peak season.
- Q. What does the Company plan to do to inform customers about the rate changes?
- 14 A. On April 1, 2020, the Company will be posting information regarding this filing on its
- website. Once the rates are approved, they will be posted on the website by May 1, 2020.
- The May bills will include on-bill messaging along with a bill insert reminding customers
- of the new rates, and directing them to the website for more information.

18 V. PROPANE PURCHASING STABILIZATION PLAN

- 19 **Q.** What is the Propane Purchasing Stabilization Plan?
- 20 A. The Propane Purchasing Stabilization Plan is a strategy the Company undertakes to
- 21 provide more stability in the winter COG rate and to facilitate the offering of a Fixed

Page 6 of 9

Price Option. Under this strategy, the Company systematically purchases supply over a predetermined period. The strategy is intended to provide more price stability rather than to secure lower prices. The Company believes this strategy should continue.

- 4 Q. Has the Company performed any analysis regarding its Propane Purchasing
- 5 **Stabilization Plan ("Plan")?**
- 6 A. Yes. The Company performed two analyses. In Schedule J-1, the Company evaluated the premium/discount associated with securing the pre-purchased volumes for delivery in 7 the winter of 2019–2020 relative to securing a floating price at Mont Belvieu. The 8 9 comparison reflects the net premium/discount results of the Company's competitive RFP process. In Schedule J-2, the Company performed a comparison of propane purchase 10 costs under the contract versus representative spot prices had the Company not 11 12 implemented the Plan. The analysis shows that due to changing market conditions the cost of the pre-purchased gallons was 6.8% higher than the average representative spot 13 14 purchase cost for the first four months of the current winter period, reflecting a decrease 15 in spot propane prices.
- 16 Q. Has the Company issued a Request for Proposal ("RFP") to potential suppliers for the 2020–2021 Plan?
- 18 A. Yes. The Company issued the RFP for the 2020–2021 Plan on March 7, 2020. The RFP

 19 process was the same as the process used last summer. The RFP was sent to eleven

 20 suppliers. The winning bidder was notified on March 23, 2020.

REDACTED

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities - Keene Division

Docket No. DG 20-xxx

Testimony of Deborah Gilbertson and Catherine McNamara

Page 7 of 9

1 Q. Is the Company proposing any changes to the 20	.UZU-ZUZI Plar	1:
---	----------------	----

- 2 A. No. The Plan structure specified in the RFP, as detailed on Schedule J-3, has not
- changed from the design that was used for the previous winter. The Company will
- 4 purchase 700,000 gallons to maintain, a consistent ratio of hedged volumes to expected
- 5 sales.

6 Q. Why did the Plan volumes increase from 575,000 gallons to 700,000 gallons?

- A. As discussed in the testimony for winter COG, the increase in Plan volumes is due to
- 8 EnergyNorth retaining one-half of the Amherst storage volumes that were previously
- allocated to Keene. The Amherst storage tank is shared between EnergyNorth and Keene
- and serves as a winter hedge supply of propane for Keene. The increased Plan volume
- offsets the reduction of the Amherst volume recalled by EnergyNorth. By increasing the
- 12 Plan contract volume, the Keene division will maintain a pre-purchase hedge volume of
- approximately 60%, which is consistent with prior years.

14 VI. <u>COMPRESSED NATURAL GAS ("CNG")</u>

- 15 Q. Does the Company plan to incorporate CNG into the portfolio this summer?
- 16 A. Yes. The Company will utilize CNG to serve a portion of the distribution system known
- as the Monadnock Marketplace.
- 18 Q. How does the CNG per unit pricing compare to the per unit spot propane price?
- 19 A. For the upcoming summer period, the projected average cost of propane is
- therm (Schedule K, Line 40) while the projected average cost of CNG is

REDACTED

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities - Keene Division

Docket No. DG 20-xxx

Testimony of Deborah Gilbertson and Catherine McNamara

Page 8 of 9

2		unit cost of spot propane.
3	Q.	Is there a demand charge for the CNG and, if so, how does the Company plan to
4		recover the demand costs?
5	A.	Yes, there is a demand charge for the CNG. The demand charge is a fixed charge, which
6		is paid by the Company in 12 monthly installments, totaling . The Company
7		will allocate the demand charge on a pro-rata basis proportionate to the percentage of off-
8		peak and peak period loads to total annual load. For example, the off-peak load
9		percentage to total annual load is approximately 20% and therefore the expectation is to
10		recover 20% of the demand charge during the off-peak period, while the
11		remaining 80% is collected during the peak period.
12	Q.	What is the net overall cost difference when comparing the total summer portfolio
13		either with CNG or without CNG?
14	A.	The effect on the overall cost of gas is currently projected to be about 7.6 cents more per
15		therm with CNG included rather than if spot propane were the only commodity utilized to
16		serve the portfolio.
17	Q.	Does the Company have plans to expand the CNG beyond the current footprint and
18		if so, when does the Company plan to do this?
19	A.	The Company does plan to expand the CNG footprint; however, construction for any new
20		supply facility will not begin until 2021 and thus any expansion will not occur until after

therm (Schedule K, Line 25). CNG is projected to be \$.0207 per therm more than the

Page 9 of 9

- 1 COG process. Additionally the Company intends to engage Staff and the OCA
- 2 informally over the summer as plans develop.

3 VII. MODIFICATIONS TO THE COG FILING

- 4 Q. Have there been changes to any schedules in the filing and, if so, what changed and
- 5 **why did it change?**
- 6 A. Changes have been made to Schedule K, Schedule H, and Schedule D. These changes
- were made to improve the filing for ease of review. Schedule K was changed to display
- 8 therms instead of gallons. The display in gallons was confusing as opposed to using
- 9 therms, which is the standard unit of measure for billing. Schedule H was modified to
- show "calendar month sales" as opposed to "cycle billed sales." In prior filings,
- Schedule H displayed volumes for cycle billed sales. Using that method, there is always
- a month lag between 'billed sales' volumes and volumes which are actually consumed
- each calendar month. By using calendar month sales, the consumption of the gas is
- aligned to the sendout of the gas on a calendar basis. Additionally, Schedule D was
- 15 expanded to show not only the expected weighted average cost of the propane in the
- tanks over the period, but also the expected cost of CNG as it pertains to that portion of
- 17 total sendout.
- 18 Changes to Schedules B and E reflect the costs of CNG more accurately and align with
- the Cost of Gas Monthly Adjustment filing.
- 20 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 21 A. Yes.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK